

Quality Resource Guide

Temporomandibular Disorders: Etiology, Diagnostic & Management Considerations

Author Acknowledgements

HENRY GREMILLION, DDS MAGD

Dean and Professor

**GARY KLASSER, DMD CERT.
OROFACIAL PAIN**

Professor

Louisiana State University
School of Dentistry
Department of Diagnostic Sciences
New Orleans, Louisiana

Educational Objectives

Following this unit of instruction, the learner should be able to:

1. Recognize the common signs and symptoms related to temporomandibular disorders (TMD).
2. Understand the differences between myogenous and arthrogenous TMD.
3. Appreciate the controversy associated with the etiology of, and the etiological factors related to, TMD.
4. Understand the multifaceted nature of TMD leading to management considerations using multi-disciplinary approaches designed on a case-specific basis.

MetLife designates this activity for **1.0 continuing education credits** for the review of this Quality Resource Guide and successful completion of the post test.

Drs. Gremillion and Klasser have no relevant relationships to disclose.

The following commentary highlights fundamental and commonly accepted practices on the subject matter. The information is intended as a general overview and is for educational purposes only. This information does not constitute legal advice, which can only be provided by an attorney.

© 2021 MetLife Services and Solutions, LLC. All materials subject to this copyright may be photocopied for the noncommercial purpose of scientific or educational advancement.

Originally published August 2014. Updated and revised December 2017 and December 2020. Expiration date: December 2023.

The content of this Guide is subject to change as new scientific information becomes available.

ADA CERP® Continuing Education Recognition Program

MetLife is an ADA CERP Recognized Provider.

Accepted Program Provider FAGD/MAGD Credit **11/01/16 - 06/01/21**.

ADA CERP is a service of the American Dental Association to assist dental professionals in identifying quality providers of continuing dental education. ADA CERP does not approve or endorse individual courses or instructors, nor does it imply acceptance of credit hours by boards of dentistry.

Address comments or questions to:

DentalQuality@metlife.com

MetLife Dental Continuing Education
501 US Hwy 22, Area 3D-309B
Bridgewater, NJ 08807

Cancellation/Refund Policy:

Any participant who is not 100% satisfied with this course can request a full refund by contacting us.

Concerns or complaints about a CE provider may be directed to the provider or to ADA CERP at www.ada.org/goto/cerp.

Introduction

In a general population survey involving 805 individuals having a persistent pain disorder, it was revealed that more than four out of 10 people had not found adequate relief, saying their pain is out of control—despite having the pain for more than 5 years and switching doctors at least once.¹ Therefore, it should not be a surprise the most common reason patients seek medical or dental care in the United States is due to pain. Lipton and others, in a study of 45,711 households, revealed that 22% of the U.S. population experienced orofacial pain on more than one occasion in a 6 month period. Odontogenic pain was the most frequently reported condition.² Non-odontogenic pain involving the orofacial region such as temporomandibular joint (TMJ) pain, face pain, and neuropathic conditions were also commonly reported. Since each pain disorder is unique, establishing an accurate and complete differential diagnosis is fundamental. It is mandatory that dental practitioners develop the necessary scientific and clinical expertise on which he/she may base diagnostic and management approaches.

Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is currently viewed as a group of musculoskeletal and neuromuscular conditions that involve the TMJs, the masticatory muscles, and all associated tissues.³ TMD has been identified as one of the most common non-odontogenic pain complaints and is the second most frequent cause of musculoskeletal pain and limitation, only preceded by low back pain.² Population-based studies indicate the prevalence of TMD-related pain is 12%.² The National Institutes of Health reported that 10 million Americans suffer from TMD related complaints each year.⁵

TMD Signs and Symptoms

The cardinal signs (objective indicators) and symptoms (subjective experiences) of TMD are: pain in the temporomandibular region; limitation or disturbance in mandibular movement and/or masticatory functional ability; and, TMJ sounds. However, this description is far too simplistic. The complexity of neural pathways in the head and neck region provides dynamic interactions

between a number of cranial and cervical nerves, including the trigeminal system, complicating the evaluation of the patient with orofacial pain. This shared neurologic circuitry makes the etiology of orofacial pain difficult to diagnose.⁶ Confusion regarding diagnostic and clinical decision-making is compounded by the fact that signs associated with TMD occur quite commonly in the general population. Therefore, decisions regarding who should, and who should not, be treated may be challenging. Seventy-five percent of those evaluated in a non-patient study exhibited at least 1 sign (joint noise or palpation tenderness) and 33% exhibited at least one symptom⁷, while only 3.6% to 7% of individuals with TMD are in need of treatment.^{7,8}

Pain in other regions of the body, and more specifically in the head and neck, share commonalities or are comorbid with TMD. Headache and ear-related symptoms are frequent complaints among TMD patients.⁹⁻¹⁴ Pain in other regions of the body including other joints have been associated with TMD.^{15,16} A study of a TMD patient population indicated that 75% also reported concomitant neck pain. Seventy-two percent of the study group was experiencing pain in areas of the head other than the masticatory region, and 72% reported back pain.¹⁷ It has also been reported that high pain intensity and long pain duration increase the probability of having co-existing pain and comorbidities in this patient population.¹⁸

Who is at Risk?

The most common age group found to be affected is between 15 and 45 years of age (mean: 33.9 years).¹⁹ Demographic data from clinically based studies indicate that TMD symptoms are least prevalent in the young and seem to decrease after the age of 45. A significant sex bias exists among patient populations of 6:1 to 9:1, with females more represented than males.^{20,21} The literature on experimentally-induced pain indicates sex differences, with females displaying greater sensitivity.²² Sex differences are also noted in epidemiological studies with females reporting more severe pain, more frequent pain, and pain of longer duration.^{23,24}

Arthrogenous (joint related) TMD

The most common sign of TMD has been identified as TMJ clicking, due to displacement of the articular disc. General population based studies have reported clicking, popping or crepitus to occur in about 50% of those studied.⁸ A magnetic resonance image (MRI) study identified that 86% of a TMD patient population demonstrated disc displacement. However, 33% of the non-patient controls also had disc displacement.²⁵ Although the concept of natural progression of TMD has been purported in the past, there is a lack of evidence supporting the concept that TMJ joint clicking progresses to locking and degeneration, or that arthritic changes develop in joints that lock. It has been reported that most degenerating joints tend to become non-painful with time; although, as many as 16% of these individuals may experience pain long-term.²⁶

Myogenous (muscle related) TMD

Muscle-related signs and symptoms are very common in the general population and account for the most common subgroup of patients with TMD.^{27,28} Definitive theories do not presently exist that totally explain why masticatory muscles become painful, their associated symptomatology, or the cause(s) leading to chronicity. Furthermore, there is no single identifiable etiologic factor; therefore myogenous pain is considered complex and multifactorial, making it more challenging to identify risk factors and their unique contributions to the process.²⁹ It is important to recognize there exists a dynamic relationship between the function of the masticatory and cervical muscles. Due to this relationship, these regions must be thoroughly assessed during routine TMD patient evaluations. In fact, cervical tenderness scores have been shown to differentiate between TMD patients and controls and between different TMD diagnoses.³⁰ The putative mechanisms behind masticatory myalgia typically include overuse of a normally perfused muscle or ischemia of a normally working muscle, sympathetic reflexes producing changes in vascular supply and muscle tone, or changes in psychological and emotional states.³¹ Individual variations in muscle anatomy, biomechanics, and

fiber type/composition potentially related to muscle fatigue/overuse must also be considered. The demands on the musculature in normal function, and excessive function, while awake or asleep must be appreciated. Importantly, age related decline of symptoms may not be as great for patients with muscular involvement compared to those with TMJ conditions.⁴

Etiology of TMD

Few areas in dentistry have greater debate and controversy than TMD etiology. The diversity of opinion is explained by the fact that there exists: a lack of scientifically derived evidence in many areas of this complex field; significant clinical/research bias exists; there has been great dependence on anecdotal reports; and, definitive cause and effect relationships have not been established.

A functional homeostatic balance exists between the various components of the masticatory system; the teeth, periodontium (hard and soft tissue supporting structures), masticatory and cervical musculature, TMJ structures, and the physiological tolerance and psyche of the individual. This adaptive balance may be disrupted by a number of factors acting alone or in combination resulting in the expression of signs and symptoms associated with TMD. Newer scientific information has provided enhanced understanding of the pathogenesis, the cellular events and reactions and other pathologic mechanisms occurring in the development and maintenance or recurrence of TMD. *“Understanding these interrelationships should improve how we promote health, reduce disease and enhance diagnosis and treatment.”*³² A model representing factors suggested to potentially compromise the adaptability of the masticatory system is presented in **Figure 1**.


Etiological Factors for TMD

Sex

Data indicate a significant sexual disparity in the TMD patient population and in the vast majority of human pain conditions.³³ Conversely, the Orofacial Pain Prospective Evaluation and Risk Assessment (OPPERA) prospective cohort study, which monitored 2,737 males and females aged 18-44

Figure 1

Factors (alone or in combination) implicated in altering the adaptability of the masticatory system.



years recruited at four U.S. study sites over 2.8 years, found that sex differences played a minor role in the early onset of signs and symptoms of TMD, increasing the risk of TMD in only 37%.³⁴ The aspects of female biology, psychology or social roles which predispose them to have a greater prevalence of TMD compared to males is yet to be elucidated. It is postulated that differences may be related to hormonal factors, cultural and social factors, higher levels of work stress, differences in pain sensitivity or health-seeking behaviors.³⁵ Studies in which age-matched males and females were exposed to laboratory stressors have found that anxiety impacts on both sexes. However, there is a significant difference in the levels of various psychophysiologic responses between males and females. Females demonstrate a more robust response, manifested by a greater decrease in pain tolerance and threshold, more disrupted self-control strategies, increased electromyographic (EMG) activity of the facial and masticatory musculature, and more pain behavior than male subjects.³⁶ Other researchers have also identified sex differences in response to anxiety, depression and laboratory-induced pain.³⁷⁻³⁹

Physiologic factors related to sexual structural differences have also been reported. The propensity for females to exhibit a greater ease of masticatory muscle fatigue has been suggested.⁴⁰ This phenomenon has been attributed to a greater concentration of fast twitch, easily fatiguing white fibers versus slower twitch, enduring red fibers.⁴⁰

Fibromyalgia, a chronic widespread skeletal muscle condition associated with disruption in pain modulation and found nine times more often in females, is commonly found to co-exist with TMD.⁴¹ An increase in the number of red, ragged fibers (a pathologic fiber state) in areas approximating diagnostic tender points is reported to occur in fibromyalgia.⁴² This finding is also noted in post-exercise muscle soreness (myositis).⁴³ Class II skeletal subtypes (high angle cases) have also been reported to demonstrate greater propensity for masticatory muscle fatigue.⁴⁴ Joint laxity has also been reported to be a factor in intracapsular TMDs.⁴⁵

Data also suggest hormonal factors may be largely responsible for sex differences in the TMD patient population. TMD appears to peak in incidence during the reproductive years suggesting that either biologic and/or psychosocial factors unique to females in this period of life could increase the risk of developing and maintaining this condition.⁴⁶ It has been long recognized that females demonstrate greater pain sensitivity during the menstrual cycle, at ovulation, and following menses.³⁸ The relationship of estrogen, and to a lesser degree prolactin, to pain sensitivity has been elucidated. It has been reported the use of an estrogen supplement significantly increased the odds of having TMD.⁴⁶ Studies have shown that although functional estrogen receptors have been identified in many synovial joints of males and females at equal concentrations, there exists

a significant difference in the number of estrogen receptors within the TMJ. Male TMJs have been found to have few, if any, estrogen receptors⁴⁷ while female TMJs exhibited significant numbers of these receptors.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ Implications regarding hormonal variables relate to their potential to modify the adaptive capacity of the TMJ.⁵¹ A potential mechanism for these changes towards adaptability may involve estrogen and relaxin potentially contributing to the degeneration of cartilage homeostasis by disrupting the TMJ and inducing activation of metalloproteinases (MMP) that degrade cartilage matrix macromolecules (collagen and proteoglycans).⁵²

Measurement of TM joint pressure differentials in the superior joint space on patients experiencing several subtypes of internal derangement revealed tremendously elevated pressures associated with clenching. These elevated pressures create a hypoxic environment stimulating the production of tissue degrading substances such as free radicals and cytokines.⁵³ A trend towards significantly greater TM joint pressures associated with clenching was found to occur in females compared to male subjects.⁵⁴ This finding is interesting in light of the fact that males have routinely been found to develop greater biting forces than females.⁵⁵ Further study in this area may provide additional explanation with regard to the preponderance of female TMD patients.

Psychosocial factors

Psychosocial factors are frequently proposed to be related to the TMD experience. There is little doubt there is some psychological factor associated with every pain experience. However, the relationship of the psychologic factor(s), either directly or indirectly, as a cause or response must be determined on a case-specific basis. Additionally, the degree of response must be assessed since psychological response in acute pain states is typically a short-lived, normal reaction. It is well-recognized that anxiety, stress, negative affect, and depression may compromise physical and mental well-being, especially when pain becomes chronic. Catastrophizing (thinking the worst) and fear avoidance have been identified as significant impediments to successful management of pain

conditions. Pain severity has been found to be significantly related to degree of life interference and to negative affect (depression, anxiety, and anger).⁵⁶ A direct relationship exists between depression and both physical and the psychosocial functioning of orofacial pain patients.⁵⁷ Additionally, depressed mood is associated with a decrease in the concentration of the central nervous system neurotransmitters norepinephrine and serotonin.⁵⁸ Decrease in these neurotransmitters is associated with impairment of endogenous pain inhibition and disrupted sleep patterns. Anxiety and stress have been found to cause compromise in the immune system, lowering individual host resistance.⁵⁹

Importantly, research has identified a relationship between a history of physical and/or sexual abuse and a range of psychological, functional, and physical factors.^{60,61} A history of abuse has been identified as a significant feature of TMD chronic pain patient populations when contrasted to patients with non-chronic TMD. Riley and others found that an abuse history was likely to increase an individual's tendency to dwell on, amplify, and over-interpret somatic symptoms.⁶² Grossi and others reported that emotional abuse, more than physical and sexual abuse, is an important risk factor for the development of TMD even when controlling for multiple other factors.⁶³

Nutrition and Exercise

Some TMD/orofacial pain patients have withdrawn from normal activities of daily living, including proper eating and exercise, which may compromise not only their mental well-being, but also their neurophysiological well-being.⁶⁴ Regular exercise boosts the body's natural pain defense mechanisms by enhancing the production of endogenous opioids (enkephalins, dynorphins, endorphins). It was concluded in a systematic review that various exercise therapies appeared to have a significant effect on both pain intensity and jaw mobility in both myalgia and/or arthralgia patients.⁶⁵

Balanced nutrition can enhance the body's pain defense mechanisms by maximizing anti-eicosinoid effects and aiding in the production of antioxidants, which limit the damage caused

by destructive free radicals in both joint and muscle disorders. Chronic muscle pain disorders such as myofascial pain and fibromyalgia have been associated with a decrease in serum magnesium.^{66,67} Magnesium deficit is associated with: an enhanced inflammatory process; an enhanced free radical (superoxide) formation; an enhanced excitatory state in the CNS; and, an enhanced calcium mobilizing potential (abnormal calcium handling).⁶⁸

It is now understood that diet strongly influences bidirectional neuroendocrine crosstalk along the microbiota (the trillions of microorganisms within and on our bodies) gut-brain axis (the bidirectional communication system between the central and enteric nervous systems which link the emotional and cognitive centers of the brain with peripheral intestinal functions). Diet is both a source of neuroactive and neuroendocrine molecules as well as precursors that can affect host neuroendocrine physiology. Stress can influence food preference and consumption, which can affect host-microbe bidirectional communication. The mechanistic pathways related to the complex relationship between diet, nutrition, and the microbiota gut-brain axis may have profound effects on patients with TMD. These incredibly complex relationships which causally unite these categories remain to be fully explained.⁶⁹

Trauma

The role of various types of trauma in the etiology of TMD has been debated for many years. A study of 400 consecutive TMD clinical patients assessed the incidence of jaw injury in relation to onset of symptoms. Approximately 25% of the study population could relate the onset of pain and dysfunction directly to an identifiable macrotraumatic event, primarily extension/flexion injury.⁷⁰ Interestingly, patients with the combination of TMD and trauma histories display more severe subjective, objective and psychological dysfunction compared with typical TMD only patients.⁷¹ Similarly, a study of TMJ degenerative joint disease patients found that only 31.6% felt that a macrotraumatic episode was responsible for their condition.⁷²

Another macrotraumatic event to be considered as a risk factor for TMD is endotracheal intubation. Any association between endotracheal intubation and the development of short-term TMD symptoms is likely to be found in patients with a history of dysfunctional symptoms.⁷³

The previous data suggest the vast majority of TMD patients experience a more insidious onset of their symptoms likely related to microtrauma or a repetitive stress response. Potential putative microtraumatic factors include bruxing/clenching/grinding, postural dysfunction, and other habitual repetitive behaviors. In a study monitoring awake behavior in healthy young adults, it was found that teeth contact (14.5%) and jaw clenching (10.0%) were the most frequent behaviors, with no significant sex differences detected.⁷⁴ Evidence supports this notion as it was reported that females with masticatory muscle pain have an increased frequency of both high and low-intense awake clenching episodes when compared to age matched pain free controls.⁷⁵ Hence, clinicians should recognize the effects of these awake parafunctional behaviors and tailor their management approaches accordingly.

Postural imbalances have been suggested as an etiologic variable in TMD.⁷⁶ It was reported, with moderate to strong evidence, in a systematic review and meta-analysis to identify the neck musculoskeletal disorders presented by individuals with TMD, that individuals with TMD present a reduction in the endurance of the neck extensor muscles, worse self-reported neck disability and global and upper cervical hypomobility. The TMD population did not present cranial-cervical posture alterations when compared to healthy controls.⁷⁷ While there is little doubt that craniocervical dysfunction is commonly found in the TMD patient population, a cause and effect relationship has not been definitively established. Studies indicate that a dynamic relationship exists between cervical and masticatory muscles. Injection of an irritating substance into the trapezius muscle in a group of subjects was associated with an increase in the EMG activity of the injected muscle and increased EMG activity of the masseter muscle on

the same side.⁷⁸ Results from a study of patients in motor vehicular accidents suggest that TMJ or masticatory muscle injury may be associated with varying postural characteristics.⁷⁹ It appears that the complex innervation of the head and neck creates an environment in which sensory and motor systems may interact, resulting in musculoskeletal compromise of the masticatory and cervical regions.

Sleep

It is estimated that 1 in 7 Americans suffers from a diagnosable sleep disturbance. Disturbed sleep has significant physiological effects and a number of psychological relationships.⁸⁰⁻⁸¹ A number of sleep dependent processes are necessary for health maintenance. During the deeper, restorative stage of sleep, growth hormone is produced. Growth hormone is necessary for repair and regeneration of damaged tissues such as joint or muscle. Additionally, T-cell and lymphocyte function is enhanced by quality, restorative sleep. A compromise in the amount of deeper sleep also results in a decrease in serotonin in the CNS. The ramifications of diminished serotonin levels are widespread, involving altered pain modulation and mood. Disturbed sleep patterns are commonly reported among TMD patient populations.^{82,83} Associated with these disrupted sleep cycles may be an increase in masticatory system parafunctional behaviors such as clenching, grinding and bruxing. Sleep bruxism has been reported at levels 3-4 times more forcefully than during the waking hours due to the reduction in inhibitory controls while sleeping.⁸⁴ Furthermore, in a large population-based cohort study, there was a significantly higher incidence of TMD in sleep apnea patients.⁸⁵ Due to the significant implications of impaired sleep and sleep bruxism, it is essential that a thorough review of each TMD/orofacial pain patient's sleep history be accomplished.

Results of the OPERA Study

In 2004, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) sought proposals for a prospective cohort study to "identify the incidence of craniofacial pain and dysfunction and its risk factors." Subsequently, in

2006 the NIH funded a project entitled the OPERA study. A wide array of putative risk factors were measured, ranging from genotypes to intermediate phenotypes of psychological distress and pain amplification to environmental influences and clinical aspects of TMD itself. Since its inception 3 study designs have been utilized: a prospective cohort study (to determine the incidence rate of first-onset TMD),⁸⁶ a case-control study (comparison between individuals (cases) who already have TMD to a similar group of individuals (controls) who do not have TMD)⁸⁷ and a nested case control study (compared those who developed TMD to those who remained symptom free).⁸⁸ Several general themes have emerged from this study that should be applied judiciously to guide patient care. Firstly, individuals who experience relatively poor health, whether in the form of comorbid disease, other pain conditions, poor sleep quality, or cigarette smoking have a disproportionately high rate for development of TMD. Therefore, as health care practitioners, efforts to promote general health should be supported as a method of primary prevention for TMD. Secondly, some of the strongest predictors of developing TMD are also the easiest to assess, including simple participant completed checklists. Lastly, even though TMD is a multifactorial condition with many risk factors, by applying cluster analysis, there exists only a few relevant subgroups ("adaptive," "pain sensitive," and "global symptoms" groups) with specific membership being strongly associated with odds of chronic TMD and with risk of developing first-onset TMD. In a further advancement of the OPERA study, a supplemental series of articles published in the Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache (2020) investigated five chronic overlapping pain conditions (COPC) [TMD, headache, back pain, irritable bowel syndrome, and fibromyalgia] by assessing their associations among six biopsychosocial domains. Overall, it was determined that each of the COPCs can occur as a local condition and as a part of a centralized pain condition.⁸⁹ Ultimately, a better understanding of this may allow for specifically targeted and individualized management approaches.⁹⁰⁻⁹³

Diagnostic Considerations

In order to deliver accurate and reliable diagnosis(es) it is important to have a validated and reliable classification system to be used as a guide. The first dual axis criteria, incorporating both physical and psychosocial findings, was the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD), originally published in 1992.⁹⁴ This has since evolved into the Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD)⁹⁵ which is a more validated clinically oriented classification system for the most common joint and muscle conditions. To date, it is the only classification system that incorporates standardized and reliable self-report questionnaires, clinical examination procedures, scoring systems, and decision trees. Furthermore, no other system integrates biophysical diagnosis to a disability index that measures the impact that pain has on the patient's behavior. A supplementation and extension of the DC/TMD, to include less common masticatory muscle and temporomandibular joint conditions, is the expanded DC/TMD.⁹⁶ This system incorporates 37 conditions that have operationalized diagnostic criteria. Recently, a collaborative group of international individuals representing multiple organizations and associations developed the International Classification of Orofacial Pain.⁹⁷ This classification system emphasizes the characteristics of the pain disorders rather than the anatomic location of pain. Included in ICOP are TMD diagnostic criteria which have been adopted from the DC/TMD by including only painful TMD conditions. Additionally, ICOP incorporates a time component to diagnosis as well as distinguishing primary from secondary pain.

To assist the clinician in the diagnostic process, a validated TMD screener has been developed which may be administered in either a short (three-item) or long (six-item) version. This psychometric instrument has excellent levels of reliability, sensitivity, and specificity thus supporting its usefulness in any clinical office setting.⁹⁸

Management Considerations

Management of TMD/orofacial pain must be viewed on a case-specific basis. To achieve optimum outcomes, the practitioner(s) must address the specific pathophysiology. The traditional model of monodisciplinary management has proven to be effective in cases where definitive cause and effect relationships may be established. However, the multifaceted nature of these conditions, in combination with the associated features and comorbidities of recurrent and/or chronic pain, add a significant degree of complexity to management decisions and interventions. Utilization of a multidisciplinary model of diagnosis and management encourages the integration of a management plan with input from a cadre of health practitioners (**Table 1**). This approach will enhance outcomes by addressing

physical, somatic, psychological, environmental, and behavioral factors in a well-orchestrated fashion. The goals of management include: 1) reduce or eliminate pain; 2) halt the disease process when possible; 3) normalize function; 4) improve quality of life; and, 5) reduce the need for long-term care.⁹⁹

Implementation of this multidisciplinary strategy requires adherence to a biopsychosocial model that allows the therapy team to develop a complete and definitive diagnosis encompassing all physical and psychosocial factors. Goals must be established with regard to treatment duration, pain management approaches, patient involvement, and a plan for the patient to return to activities of daily living. Success is dependent on regular communication between the team members.

Table 1 - Team members and their respective roles in the diagnosis and management of TMD/orofacial pain

Dentist	Physical Therapist	Clinical and Health Psychologist	Other Healthcare Consultants (if required)
Perform evaluation/diagnosis	Perform evaluation/diagnosis	Perform evaluation/diagnosis	Pharmacy
Provide patient education/reassurance	Provide patient education/reassurance	Identification of underlying and resultant psychological issues	Neurology
Provide coordinated pharmacologic management	Deliver modalities/techniques	Provide cognitive-behavioral therapy training	Otolaryngology/ENT
Provide dental care (when necessary for specific dental issues)	Encourage and enhance rehabilitation	Provide pain and stress management education and skills	Rheumatology
Occlusal orthosis (intraoral appliance) therapy	Facilitate team interaction	Entrainment of enhanced coping skills	Internal Medicine
Coordinate appropriate consults		Facilitate team interaction	Neurosurgery
Facilitate team interaction			Anesthesia Pain Disciplines
Participate in the normalization of sleep quantity and quality			Sleep Medicine

Conclusions

TMD represents a multitude of conditions afflicting the masticatory region with implications that transcend anatomic and neurologic boundaries. TMDs are multifactorial in nature, encompassing both physical and psychosocial domains. Significant variability exists among patients indicating there may be predisposition in some cases. Scientific studies suggest the involvement of both peripheral and central mechanisms (sympathetic nervous system and immune system) which compromise the individual's pain dampening (modulating) system,

playing a major role in recurrent and chronic cases. Therefore, it is essential that a complete evaluation of the patient from historical, clinical presentation, and physical/psychosocial examination perspectives be accomplished. Finding all the components of TMD/orofacial pain on a case-specific basis is necessary for a complete and accurate diagnosis, and effective management. By following this approach we can achieve the goal stated by Liebeskind and Melzack: *"By any reasonable code, freedom from pain should be a basic human right limited only by our knowledge to achieve it."*¹⁰⁰

References

- Portenoy R. Survey of Chronic Pain in the General Population. Robert Starch Worldwide, American Pain Society Newsletter, 1999.
- Lipton JA, Ship JA, Larach-Robinson D. Estimated prevalence and distribution of reported orofacial pain in the United States. J Am Dent Assoc 1993;124(10):115-21.
- American Academy of Orofacial Pain. Differential Diagnosis and Management of TMDs. In: de Leeuw R, Klasser GD (eds). Orofacial Pain: Guidelines for Assessment, Diagnosis, and Management. 6th ed. Hanover Park: Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc; 2018:144.
- Dworkin SF, Huggins KH, LeResche L, Von Korff M, Howard J, Truelove E, et al. Epidemiology of signs and symptoms in temporomandibular disorders: clinical signs in cases and controls. J Am Dent Assoc 1990;120(3):273-81.
- National Institutes of Health Technology Assessment Conference Statement. Management of Temporomandibular Disorders. J Am Dent Assoc 1996;127(11):1595-606.
- Sessle BJ, Hu JW, Amano N, Zhong G. Convergence of cutaneous, tooth pulp, visceral, neck and muscle afferents onto nociceptive and non-nociceptive neurones in trigeminal subnucleus caudalis (medullary dorsal horn) and its implications for referred pain. Pain 1986;27(2):219-35.
- De Kanter RJ, Truin GJ, Burgersdijk RC, Van 't Hof MA, Battistuzzi PG, Kalsbeek H, et al. Prevalence in the Dutch adult population and a meta-analysis of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorder. J Dent Res 1993;72(11):1509-18.
- Schiffman EL, Friction JR, Haley DP, Shapiro BL. The prevalence and treatment needs of subjects with temporomandibular disorders. J Am Dent Assoc 1990;120(3):295-303.
- de Leeuw R, Klasser GD, Albuquerque RJ. Are female patients with orofacial pain compromised? J Am Dent Assoc 2005;136(4):459-68.
- Klasser GD, Bassiur J, de Leeuw R. Differences in reported medical conditions between myogenous and arthrogenous TMD patients and its relevance to the general practitioner. Quintessence Int 2014;45(2):157-67.
- Costa YM, Conti PC, de Faria FA, Bonjardim LR. Temporomandibular disorders and painful comorbidities: clinical association and underlying mechanisms. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2017;123(3):288-97.
- Slade GD, Ohrbach R, Greenspan JD, Fillingim RB, Bair E, Sanders AE, et al. Painful Temporomandibular Disorder: Decade of Discovery from OPPERA Studies. J Dent Res ;95(10):1084-92.
- Porto De Toledo I, Stefani FM, Porporatti AL, Mezzomo LA, Peres MA, Flores-Mir C, et al. Prevalence of otologic signs and symptoms in adult patients with temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Oral Investig 2017;21(2):597-605.
- Kusdra PM, Stechman-Neto J, Leao BLC, Martins PFA, Lacerda ABM, Zeigelboim BS. Relationship between Otological Symptoms and TMD. Int Tinnitus J 2018;22(1):30-34.
- Dahan H, Shir Y, Velly A, Allison P. Specific and number of comorbidities are associated with increased levels of temporomandibular pain intensity and duration. J Headache Pain 2015;16:528.
- Bonato LL, Quinelato V, De Felipe Cordeiro PC, De Sousa EB, Tesch R, Casado PL. Association between temporomandibular disorders and pain in other regions of the body. J Oral Rehabil 2017;44(1):9-15.
- Garro LC, Stephenson KA, Good BJ. Chronic illness of the temporomandibular joints as experienced by support-group members. J Gen Intern Med 1994;9(7):372-8.
- Nguyen TT, Vanichanon P, Bhalang K, Vongthongsri S. Pain Duration and Intensity Are Related to Coexisting Pain and Comorbidities Present in Temporomandibular Disorder Pain Patients. J Oral Facial Pain Headache 2019;33(2):205-12.
- LeResche L. Epidemiology of temporomandibular disorders: implications for the investigation of etiologic factors. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 1997;8(3):291-305.
- LeResche L. Gender Considerations in the Epidemiology of Chronic Pain. In: Crombie IK (ed). Epidemiology of Pain. Seattle:IASP Press;1999:43-52.
- Levitt SR, McKinney MW. Validating the TMJ scale in a national sample of 10,000 patients: demographic and epidemiologic characteristics. J Orofac Pain 1994;8(1):25-35.
- Sarlani E, Garrett PH, Grace EG, Greenspan JD. Temporal summation of pain characterizes women but not men with temporomandibular disorders. J Orofac Pain 2007;21(4):309-17.
- Robinson ME, Riley JL, Brown FF, Gremillion H. Sex differences in response to cutaneous anesthesia: a double blind randomized study. Pain 1998;77(2):143-9.
- Riley JL, Robinson ME, Wise EA, Myers CD, Fillingim RB. Sex differences in the perception of noxious experimental stimuli: a meta-analysis. Pain 1998;74(2-3):181-7.

25. Tallents RH, Katzberg RW, Murphy W, Proskin H. Magnetic resonance imaging findings in asymptomatic volunteers and symptomatic patients with temporomandibular disorders. *J Prosthet Dent* 1996;75(5):529-33.
26. de Leeuw R, Boering G, Stegenga B, de Bont LG. Symptoms of temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis and internal derangement 30 years after non-surgical treatment. *Cranio* 1995;13(2):81-8.
27. Manfredini D, Arveda N, Guarda-Nardini L, Segu M, Collesano V. Distribution of diagnoses in a population of patients with temporomandibular disorders. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2012;114(5):e35-41.
28. Manfredini D, Guarda-Nardini L, Winocur E, Piccotti F, Ahlberg J, Lobbezoo F. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review of axis I epidemiologic findings. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2011;112(4):453-62.
29. Svensson P, Kumar A. Assessment of risk factors for oro-facial pain and recent developments in classification: implications for management. *J Oral Rehabil* 2016;43(12):977-89.
30. Almozni G, Zini A, Zakuto A, Zlutzky H, Bekker S, Shay B, et al. Cervical Muscle Tenderness in Temporomandibular Disorders and Its Associations with Diagnosis, Disease-Related Outcomes, and Comorbid Pain Conditions. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2020;34(1):67-76.
31. Fernández-de-las-Penas C, Svensson P. Myofascial temporomandibular disorder. *Curr Rheumatol Rev* 2016;12(1):40-54.
32. Slavkin HC. A lifetime of motion: temporomandibular joints. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1996;127(7):1093-8.
33. Mogil JS. Sex differences in pain and pain inhibition: multiple explanations of a controversial phenomenon. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2012;13(12):859-66.
34. Sanders AE, Slade GD, Bair E, Fillingim RB, Knott C, Dubner R, et al. General health status and incidence of first-onset temporomandibular disorder: the OPPERA prospective cohort study. *J Pain* 2013;14(12 Suppl):T51-62.
35. Bueno CH, Pereira DD, Pattussi MP, Grossi PK, Grossi ML. Gender differences in temporomandibular disorders in adult populational studies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Oral Rehabil* 2018;45(9):720-29.
36. Rollman GB, Harris G. The detectability, discriminability, and perceived magnitude of painful electrical shock. *Percept Psychophys* 1987;42(3):257-68.
37. Fillingim RB. Complex associations among sex, anxiety and pain. *Pain* 2013;154(3):332-3.
38. Fillingim RB, King CD, Ribeiro-Dasilva MC, Rahim-Williams B, Riley JL, 3rd. Sex, gender, and pain: a review of recent clinical and experimental findings. *J Pain* 2009;10(5):447-85.
39. Liao CH, Chang CS, Chang SN, Lane HY, Lyu SY, Morisky DE, et al. The risk of temporomandibular disorder in patients with depression: a population-based cohort study. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2011;39(6):525-31.
40. Miller A. *Cranio-mandibular Muscles: Their Role in Function and Form*. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1991.
41. Aaron LA, Burke MM, Buchwald D. Overlapping conditions among patients with chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia, and temporomandibular disorder. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160(2):221-7.
42. Pongratz DE, Sievers M. Fibromyalgia-symptom or diagnosis: a definition of the position. *Scand J Rheumatol Suppl* 2000;113:3-7.
43. Awad EA. Interstitial myofibrositis: hypothesis of the mechanism. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1973;54(10):449-53.
44. Henrikson T, Nilner M, Kurol J. Signs of temporomandibular disorders in girls receiving orthodontic treatment. A prospective and longitudinal comparison with untreated Class II malocclusions and normal occlusion subjects. *Eur J Orthod* 2000;22(3):271-81.
45. Hirsch C, John MT, Stang A. Association between generalized joint hypermobility and signs and diagnoses of temporomandibular disorders. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2008;116(6):525-30.
46. Meisler JG. Chronic pain conditions in women. *J Womens Health* 1999;8(3):313-20.
47. Milam SB, Aufdemorte TB, Sheridan PJ, Triplett RG, Van Sickels JE, Holt GR. Sexual dimorphism in the distribution of estrogen receptors in the temporomandibular joint complex of the baboon. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1987;64(5):527-32.
48. Craft RM. Modulation of pain by estrogens. *Pain* 2007;132 Suppl 1:S3-12.
49. Kang SC, Lee DG, Choi JH, Kim ST, Kim YK, Ahn HJ. Association between estrogen receptor polymorphism and pain susceptibility in female temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis patients. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;36(5):391-4.
50. Abubaker AO, Raslan WF, Sotereanos GC. Estrogen and progesterone receptors in temporomandibular joint discs of symptomatic and asymptomatic persons: a preliminary study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1993;51(10):1096-100.
51. Haskin CL, Milam SB, Cameron IL. Pathogenesis of degenerative joint disease in the human temporomandibular joint. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 1995;6(3):248-77.
52. Wang W, Hayami T, Kapila S. Estrogen and relaxin induce while progesterone represses MMP expression in TMJ fibrochondrocytes. *J Dent Res* 2007;86:1279.
53. Milam SB. Pathogenesis of degenerative temporomandibular joint arthritides. *Odontology* 2005;93(1):7-15.
54. Nitzan DW. Intraarticular pressure in the functioning human temporomandibular joint and its alteration by uniform elevation of the occlusal plane. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1994;52(7):671-9; discussion 79-80.
55. Sathyanarayana HP, Premkumar S, Manjula WS. Assessment of maximum voluntary bite force in adults with normal occlusion and different types of malocclusions. *J Contemp Dent Pract* 2012;13(4):534-8.
56. Brown FF, Robinson ME, Riley JL, Gremillion HA. Pain severity, negative affect, and microstressors as predictors of life interference in TMD patients. *Cranio* 1996;14(1):63-70.
57. Holzberg AD, Robinson ME, Geisser ME, Gremillion HA. The effects of depression and chronic pain on psychosocial and physical functioning. *Clin J Pain* 1996;12(2):118-25.
58. Catena-Dell'Osso M, Rotella F, Dell'Osso A, Fagioli A, Marazziti D. Inflammation, serotonin and major depression. *Curr Drug Targets* 2013;14(5):571-7.
59. Vidrih B, Karlovic D, Pasic MB, Uremovic M, Mufic AK, Matosic A. A review of the psychoneuroimmunologic concepts on the etiology of depressive disorders. *Acta Clin Croat* 2012;51(3):403-9.
60. De Leeuw R, Bertoli E, Schmidt JE, Carlson CR. Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in orofacial pain patients. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2005;99(5):558-68.
61. Bertoli E, de Leeuw R, Schmidt JE, Okeson JP, Carlson CR. Prevalence and impact of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in patients with masticatory muscle or temporomandibular joint pain: differences and similarities. *J Orofac Pain* 2007;21(2):107-19.
62. Riley JL, Robinson ME, Kvaal SA, Gremillion HA. Effects of physical and sexual abuse in facial pain: direct or mediated? *Cranio* 1998;16(4):259-66.
63. Grossi PK, Bueno CH, de Abreu Silva MA, Pellizzer EP, Grossi ML. Evaluation of Sexual, Physical, and Emotional Abuse in Women Diagnosed with Temporomandibular Disorders: A Case-Control Study. *Int J Prosthodont* 2018;31(6):543-51.
64. Lopresti AL, Hood SD, Drummond PD. A review of lifestyle factors that contribute to important pathways associated with major depression: diet, sleep and exercise. *J Affect Disord* 2013;148(1):12-27.
65. Shimada A, Ishigaki S, Matsuka Y, Komiyama O, Torisu T, Oono Y, et al. Effects of exercise therapy on painful temporomandibular disorders. *J Oral Rehabil* 2019;46(5):475-81.

66. Okumus M, Ceceli E, Tuncay F, Kocaoglu S, Palulu N, Yorgancioglu ZR. The relationship between serum trace elements, vitamin B12, folic acid and clinical parameters in patients with myofascial pain syndrome. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil* 2010;23(4):187-91.
67. Pagliai G, Giangrandi I, Dinu M, Sofi F, Colombini B. Nutritional Interventions in the Management of Fibromyalgia Syndrome. *Nutrients* 2020;12(9):2525.
68. Whang R. Magnesium deficiency: pathogenesis, prevalence, and clinical implications. *Am J Med* 1987;82(3A):24-9.
69. Lyte JM. Eating for 3.8 x 10(13): Examining the Impact of Diet and Nutrition on the Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis Through the Lens of Microbial Endocrinology. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2018;9:796.
70. De Boever JA, Keersmaekers K. Trauma in patients with temporomandibular disorders: frequency and treatment outcome. *J Oral Rehabil* 1996;23(2):91-6.
71. Landzberg G, El-Rabbany M, Klasser GD, Epstein JB. Temporomandibular disorders and whiplash injury: a narrative review. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2017;124(2):e37-e46.
72. Bates RE, Gremillion HA, Stewart CM. Degenerative joint disease. Part II: Symptoms and examination findings. *Cranio* 1994;12(2):88-92.
73. Martin MD, Wilson KJ, Ross BK, Souter K. Intubation risk factors for temporomandibular joint/facial pain. *Anesth Prog* 2007;54(3):109-14.
74. Bracci A, Djukic G, Favero L, Salmaso L, Guarnardini L, Manfredini D. Frequency of awake bruxism behaviours in the natural environment. A 7-day, multiple-point observation of real-time report in healthy young adults. *J Oral Rehabil* 2018;45(6):423-29.
75. Cioffi I, Landino D, Donnarumma V, Castroflorio T, Lobbezoo F, Michelotti A. Frequency of daytime tooth clenching episodes in individuals affected by masticatory muscle pain and pain-free controls during standardized ability tasks. *Clin Oral Investig* 2017;21(4):1139-48.
76. Zonnenberg AJ, Van Maanen CJ, Oostendorp RA, Elvers JW. Body posture photographs as a diagnostic aid for musculoskeletal disorders related to temporomandibular disorders (TMD). *Cranio* 1996;14(3):225-32.
77. de Oliveira-Souza AIS, de O Ferro JK, Barros MMB, Oliveira DA. Cervical musculoskeletal disorders in patients with temporomandibular dysfunction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Bodyw Mov Ther*. 2020 Oct;24(4):84-101.
78. Carlson CR, Okeson JP, Falace DA, Nitz AJ, Lindroth JE. Reduction of pain and EMG activity in the masseter region by trapezius trigger point injection. *Pain* 1993;55(3):397-400.
79. Burgess JA, Kolbinson DA, Lee PT, Epstein JB. Motor vehicle accidents and TMDs: assessing the relationship. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1996;127(12):1767-72; quiz 85.
80. Lavigne GJ, Sessle BJ. The Neurobiology of Orofacial Pain and Sleep and Their Interactions. *J Dent Res* 2016;95(10):1109-16.
81. Klasser GD, Almozino G, Fortuna G. Sleep and Orofacial Pain. *Dent Clin North Am* 2018;62(4):629-56.
82. Benoliel R, Zini A, Zakuto A, Slutzky H, Haviv Y, Sharav Y, et al. Subjective Sleep Quality in Temporomandibular Disorder Patients and Association with Disease Characteristics and Oral Health-Related Quality of Life. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2017;31(4):313-22.
83. Almozino G, Benoliel R, Sharav Y, Haviv Y. Sleep disorders and chronic craniofacial pain: Characteristics and management possibilities. *Sleep Med Rev* 2017;33:39-50.
84. Nishigawa K, Bando E, Nakano M. Quantitative study of bite force during sleep associated bruxism. *J Oral Rehabil* 2001;28(5):485-91.
85. Wu JH, Lee KT, Kuo CY, Cheng CH, Chiu JY, Hung JY, et al. The Association between Temporomandibular Disorder and Sleep Apnea-A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17(17):6311.
86. Bair E, Brownstein NC, Ohrbach R, Greenspan JD, Dubner R, Fillingim RB, et al. Study protocol, sample characteristics, and loss to follow-up: the OPPERA prospective cohort study. *J Pain* 2013;14(12 Suppl):T2-19.
87. Slade GD, Bair E, By K, Mulkey F, Baraian C, Rothwell R, et al. Study methods, recruitment, sociodemographic findings, and demographic representativeness in the OPPERA study. *J Pain* 2011;12(11 Suppl):T12-26.
88. Slade GD, Sanders AE, Ohrbach R, Fillingim RB, Dubner R, Gracely RH, et al. Pressure pain thresholds fluctuate with, but do not usefully predict, the clinical course of painful temporomandibular disorder. *Pain* 2014;155(10):2134-43.
89. Ohrbach R, Fillingim RB, Greenspan JD, Maixner W, Sanders AE, Sharma S, et al. Authors' Response: When You Come to the Fork in the Road, Take It! Future Research into Chronic Pain as a General Condition. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2020;34:s12-s14.
90. Fillingim RB, Slade GD, Diatchenko L, Dubner R, Greenspan JD, Knott C, et al. Summary of findings from the OPPERA baseline case-control study: implications and future directions. *J Pain* 2011;12(11 Suppl):T102-7.
91. Slade GD, Fillingim RB, Sanders AE, Bair E, Greenspan JD, Ohrbach R, et al. Summary of findings from the OPPERA prospective cohort study of incidence of first-onset temporomandibular disorder: implications and future directions. *J Pain* 2013;14(12 Suppl):T116-24.
92. Bair E, Gaynor S, Slade GD, Ohrbach R, Fillingim RB, Greenspan JD, et al. Identification of clusters of individuals relevant to temporomandibular disorders and other chronic pain conditions: the OPPERA study. *Pain* 2016;157(6):1266-78.
93. Ohrbach R, Fillingim RB, Mulkey F, Gonzalez Y, Gordon S, Gremillion H, et al. Clinical findings and pain symptoms as potential risk factors for chronic TMD: descriptive data and empirically identified domains from the OPPERA case-control study. *J Pain* 2011;12(11 Suppl):T27-45.
94. Dworkin SF, LeResche L. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. *J Craniomandib Disord* 1992;6(4):301-55.
95. Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, Look J, Anderson G, Goulet JP, et al. Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) for Clinical and Research Applications: recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network* and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Groupdagger. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2014;28(1):6-27.
96. Peck CC, Goulet JP, Lobbezoo F, Schiffman EL, Alstergren P, Anderson GC, et al. Expanding the taxonomy of the diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders. *J Oral Rehabil* 2014;41(1):2-23.
97. International Classification of Orofacial Pain, 1st edition (ICOP). *Cephalalgia* 2020;40:129-221.
98. Gonzalez YM, Schiffman E, Gordon SM, Seago B, Truelove EL, Slade G, et al. Development of a brief and effective temporomandibular disorder pain screening questionnaire: reliability and validity. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2011;142(10):1183-91.
99. Turp JC, Jokstad A, Motschall E, Schindler HJ, Windecker-Getaz I, Ettlin DA. Is there a superiority of multimodal as opposed to simple therapy in patients with temporomandibular disorders? A qualitative systematic review of the literature. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2007;18 Suppl 3:138-50.
100. Liebeskind JC, Melzack R. The International Pain Foundation: meeting a need for education in pain management. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 1988;3(3):131-4.

POST-TEST

Internet Users: This page is intended to assist you in fast and accurate testing when completing the “Online Exam.” We suggest reviewing the questions and then circling your answers on this page prior to completing the online exam.

(1.0 CE Credit Contact Hour) Please circle the correct answer. 70% equals passing grade.

1. The etiology of most TMDs:

- a. Is most likely related to some type of recognizable traumatic event.
- b. Most likely can be determined by careful exam and history taking.
- c. Is most likely related to psychosocial factors.
- d. Is generally idiopathic, and cannot be clearly determined.
- e. Is generally due to a singular event which is often identifiable.

2. Compared to a person having normal, non-painful jaw joints, a subject with a painful TM joint may have:

- a. Limitation of jaw motion.
- b. Painful or tender jaw muscles.
- c. Intracapsular sounds.
- d. Deviation upon opening.
- e. a + b only

3. The objective of most interventions for TMD is/are to:

- a. Control or eliminate pain and increase functional range of motion.
- b. Control or eliminate etiologic factors.
- c. Correct the occlusion to produce harmony in the masticatory system.
- d. Restore normal anatomy and histology of the TMJ structures.
- e. All of the above.

4. It is important to differentiate acute from chronic pain because:

- a. Acute pain is associated with persisting psychological stress.
- b. Acute pain has no biologically adaptive value.
- c. The difference between acute and chronic pain is purely temporal.
- d. Psychological factors may modulate the pain experience and modify pain perception.
- e. Psychological factors are most often the sole cause of chronic pain.

5. Studies of patients with pain disorders that have sex-specific signs and symptoms suggest that:

- a. Females report more pain disorders than males.
- b. Males have more pain related ailments than females, but seek help less often.
- c. Male hormones are a major contributor to pain related ailments.
- d. Female pain disorders are predominantly a function of menstrual cycles.
- e. Sex hormones are not a contributing factor for pain conditions..

6. Depressed mood is associated with the following neurotransmitters except for:

- a. Serotonin + norepinephrine.
- b. Norepinephrine + dopamine.
- c. Estrogen + prolactin.
- d. Dynorphin + endorphin.
- e. All of the above

7. Characteristics of myofascial pain include:

- a. Sharp, piercing quality of the pain.
- b. No pain with function.
- c. Pain easily localized to a specific site.
- d. The presence of trigger points.
- e. Temporomandibular joint sounds.

8. The majority of TMD cases are related to macrotraumatic events.

- a. True
- b. False

9. It is recognized that females demonstrate greater pain sensitivity:

- a. During the menstrual cycle.
- b. At ovulation.
- c. Following menses.
- d. None of the above
- e. All of the above

10. Management strategies for TMD should include nutrition and exercise because:

- a. TMD patients often withdraw from healthy daily activities.
- b. Chronic muscle pain disorders have been associated with an increase in serum magnesium accounting for neuroprotection.
- c. Regular exercise enhances the production of endorphins.
- d. a + c only.
- e. All of the above.

Registration/Certification Information (Necessary for proper certification)

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial): _____

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

Street Address: _____ Suite/Apt. Number _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Telephone: _____ Fax: _____

Date of Birth: _____ Email: _____

State(s) of Licensure: _____ License Number(s): _____

Preferred Dentist Program ID Number: _____ ☐ Check Box If Not A PDP Member

AGD Mastership: ☐ Yes ☐ No

AGD Fellowship: ☐ Yes ☐ No Date: _____

Please Check One: ☐ General Practitioner ☐ Specialist ☐ Dental Hygienist ☐ Other

FOR
OFFICE
USE
ONLY

Evaluation - Temporomandibular Disorders: Etiology, Diagnostic and Management Considerations 3rd Edition

Providing dentists with the opportunity for continuing dental education is an essential part of MetLife's commitment to helping dentists improve the oral health of their patients through education. You can help in this effort by providing feedback regarding the continuing education offering you have just completed.

Please respond to the statements below by checking the appropriate box, using the scale on the right.

1 = POOR

5 = Excellent

1 2 3 4 5

- | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. How well did this course meet its stated educational objectives? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 2. How would you rate the quality of the content? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 3. Please rate the effectiveness of the author. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 4. Please rate the written materials and visual aids used. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 5. The use of evidence-based dentistry on the topic when applicable. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ N/A |
| 6. How relevant was the course material to your practice? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 7. The extent to which the course enhanced your current knowledge or skill? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 8. The level to which your personal objectives were satisfied. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | |
| 9. Please rate the administrative arrangements for this course. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | |

10. How likely are you to recommend MetLife's CE program to a friend or colleague? (please circle one number below:)

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
extremely likely neutral not likely at all

What is the primary reason for your 0-10 recommendation rating above?

11. Please identify future topics that you would like to see:

Thank you for your time and feedback.



To complete the program traditionally, please mail your post test and registration/evaluation form to:
MetLife Dental Quality Initiatives Program | 501 US Highway 22 | Bridgewater, NJ 08807