

CALL FOR ABSTRACTS

THE 23RD ANNUAL NAPA PAIN CONFERENCE

THE MERITAGE RESORT AND CONFERENCE CENTER, NAPA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA



ABSTRACT SUBMISSIONS ARE OPEN FOR THE 23RD ANNUAL NAPA PAIN CONFERENCE

SUBMISSIONS ARE DUE BY FRIDAY, JULY 1, 2016

POSTER AWARDS

FIRST PLACE: CASH PRIZE

SECOND AND THIRD
PLACE RECEIVE
CONFERENCE PRIZES

The Program Committee invites abstract submissions for oral presentations and conventional posters. The Napa Pain Conference will take place August 25-27, 2016 in Napa, CA. Posters must present original, unpublished works, and are eligible for the Napa Pain Conference Poster Awards. Speakers for accepted oral presentations will earn free or discounted registration to the Napa Pain Conference (this does not include travel or accommodations).

The Napa Pain Conference invites abstract submissions for oral presentations on:

- Clinical Advancements in Pain Medicine
- Therapeutic Options for Pain Management
- Patient evaluations, monitoring and ongoing assessment
- Neuromodulation of the Brain and Central Nervous System
- Safe Prescribing
- Addressing Diversion & Safe Drug Disposal
- Opioid use disorder and overdose treatment, including buprenorphine and naloxone
- Targeted Therapeutic Delivery
- Improving patient communications and/or adherence to treatment plans
- Regulatory Updates and Overviews as applied to clinical practice
- Accurate ICD-10 Billing & Coding

The Napa Pain Conference Program Committee is accepting abstracts for posters presenting original clinical and scientific research, and research updates in:

- Pain Medicine
- Neuromodulation
- Patient Care
- Regenerative Medicine (*Pre-Conference Session)

ABSTRACT SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

All abstracts must be submitted electronically, as PDF documents, to the Program Committee via email at Education@Neurovations.com by July 1, 2016.

Title your subject line "NPC23 Abstract Submission."

The submitting author verifies, by virtue of submitting the abstract that all authors have agreed to the submission of the abstract to the Napa Pain Conference.

SYNOPSIS

A 100-word synopsis of each abstract must be submitted. It should include a brief summary of the problem, methods, results, and conclusions. The synopsis must include text only, without equations or images and be without references or citations to items described in the full abstract. The synopsis should be submitted separately, and will not count towards the one-page limitation for abstracts.

SUGGESTED NPC ABSTRACT FORMAT

Do your best to fit the abstract on a single page.

Include within your abstract the following sections. In each section, answer the question listed below.

(Do not repeat the actual question in the body of your abstract.)

- | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| • TITLE | - | "What do you call this project/poster?" |
| • PURPOSE | - | "Why was this study/research performed?" |
| • METHODS | - | "How has this problem been studied?" |
| • RESULTS | - | "Principal data and statistical analysis" |
| • DISCUSSION | - | "What is the interpretation of the data?" |
| • CONCLUSION | - | "What is the relevance to clinical practice or future research?" |
| • REFERENCES | - | References should use the suggested style below. |

CITATIONS IN THE BODY OF THE PAPER

Cite each source in numerical order using superscript Arabic numerals (1, 2, 3...).

Example 1: A review of regulations has been complete by the WHO.¹⁵

Example 2: The data were as follows ^{3,4}:

Example 3: As previously reported, ^{11-14, 25}

CITATIONS IN THE REFERENCE LIST

At the end of the document, list references numerically in the order by which they were cited in the text.

Example 1: 1. Rainier S, Thomas D, Tokarz D, et al. Myofibrillogenesis regulator 1 gene mutations cause paroxysmal dystonic choreoathetosis. Arch Neurol. 2004;61(7):1025-1029.

Example 2: 2. Weiss R. The promise of precision prescriptions. Washington Post. June 24, 2000:A1.<http://www.washingtonpost.com>. Accessed October 10, 2001.

SUBMITTING AUTHOR

Name:

Company:

Mailing Address:

Phone Number

Email:

ADDITIONAL AUTHOR(S)

Include information for all authors

Name:

Company:

Mailing Address:

Phone Number

Email:

PRESENTING AUTHOR (if different)

Name:

Company:

Mailing Address:

Phone Number

Email:

CONFERENCE TRACKS

Identifying your content area helps us to assign your abstract to the most appropriate reviewers, and to construct unified and logical educational sessions at the conference.

Not every abstract fits neatly into a single category and the identification of a secondary category might be helpful during the review and program construction process. If necessary, this ensures that your abstract is sent to the optimal combination of reviewers relevant to the content of your abstract. If you feel that your abstract belongs in more than one category, you can indicate a second area of review or emphasis.

- Clinical Advancements in Pain Medicine
- Therapeutic Options for Pain Management
- Patient Evaluations, Monitoring and Ongoing Assessment
- Neuromodulation of the Brain and Central Nervous System
- Safe Prescribing
- Addressing Diversion & Safe Drug Disposal
- Opioid Use Disorder and Overdose Treatment, Including Buprenorphine and Naloxone
- Targeted Therapeutic Delivery
- Improving Patient Communications and/or Adherence to Treatment Plans
- Regulatory Updates and Overviews as applied to clinical practice
- Accurate ICD-10 Billing & Coding
- Other categories related to established conference topics

GENERAL INFORMATION

SUBMISSIONS ARE DUE BY FRIDAY, JULY 1, 2016

- By submitting an abstract for consideration of an oral presentation, authors agree that they are able to present during any day of the conference as assigned.
- Posters will be displayed throughout the entirety of the conference. Authors will be asked to attend to/present their posters and be available for questions at the time assigned.
- A presenting author of each abstract must register for the Napa Pain Conference. Submission of an abstract does not automatically register you for the conference.
- Poster presentations are limited to a single presenter.
- Poster abstracts submitted to, or presented at other societies or national meetings may only be submitted for consideration if:
 1. The prior submission is not currently under review by the other organization;
 2. You have retained copyright authority vs. transferring copyright to the previous entity;
 3. You disclose prior publication as part of the abstract, as this must be considered in scoring abstracts for presentations and awards.
- Include the affiliations for all authors, such as universities and other institutions.
- Conflict of Interest/Disclosure: Work submitted for presentation must include an acknowledgment of funding sources of commercial nature and/or consulting or holding of significant equity in a company that could be affected by the results of the study. Even if indicated elsewhere in the abstract, this must appear as the last sentence of the abstract and read "funded by..." and/or "equity in..." if pertinent. If nothing to disclose, state "Nothing to disclose by any author(s)." Disclosure of funding and/or relationships must not include company logos (text only).
- There is no limit to the number of abstracts an author may submit for consideration.
- All recommendations involving clinical medicine must be based on evidence that is accepted within the profession of medicine as adequate justification for their indications and contraindications in the care of patients.
- All scientific research referred to, reported or used in support or justification of a patient care recommendation must conform to generally accepted standards of experimental design, data collection and analysis.

ABSTRACT SELECTION PROCESS

Abstracts for oral presentation and traditional posters will be selected by the conference Program Committee. Abstracts submitted without data, because investigations or analyses are incomplete, will be evaluated only on the basis of the information contained within the abstract. Reviewer scores and comments are confidential and will not be made available to anyone (including authors) outside of the immediate review process.

NOTIFICATION

Notifications of abstract acceptance status will be emailed to the primary author listed within the abstract no later than July 25, 2016.

REVIEW CRITERIA

1. Innovation/novelty

Advancement of knowledge, and/or improvement of capabilities.

2. Quality

The data or information should be substantive and not just implied. For research, comprehensive statistical analysis should be applied. Images and spectra should be of the highest quality. All recommendations involving clinical medicine must be based on evidence that is accepted within the profession of medicine as adequate justification for their indications and contraindications in the care of patients.

3. Impact

The method or data in the abstract should aim to advance or change the field in significant ways.

4. Bias

Evident bias in favor of a particular product or company is grounds for rejection. (Use of a particular company's products or equipment in itself does not represent bias. Nondata-driven statements of superiority, however, would be considered biased.)

5. Repetition of Content

Multiple submissions of the same or nearly the same abstract is grounds for rejection of all submitted abstracts.

6. Clarity

Organization, presentation, structure, readability. (Tips: Proofread your abstract before submission. Avoid abbreviations. Type in sentence case.)

PRESENTATION

Abstracts selected for oral presentation will be required to complete presenter information and disclosure forms.

PUBLICATION

A PDF of your final poster is requested for later publication on the conference website by August 15, 2016.

Accepted abstracts will be made available online by August 20, 2016, in both the poster and oral presentation categories.

POSTER PREPARATION

Authors of accepted posters are expected to produce a traditional poster for display in the poster hall. During assigned poster sessions, an author must be present to stand with your poster, answer questions and discuss your work.

- Maximum poster size: 48" high x 48" wide
- An author/presenter must bring their poster with them to the conference
- Posters must contain:
 1. Rationale for research
 2. Methods
 3. Results
 4. Conclusions
 5. Necessary figures
 6. Author information, including affiliations
 7. Information on funding or affiliation, without using company logos (text only)

FAILURE TO PRESENT

Submission of an abstract is considered a commitment to produce a poster or present an oral presentation if it is accepted. Failure to present may jeopardize future submissions by the same author or institution.

All submitted abstracts are treated as confidential from the time of submission to the publication date of August 20, 2016.

All copyrights to accepted abstracts become the property of the Napa Pain Conference. No proprietary information may be withheld by authors.

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

TIMOTHY DAVIS, MD

Physiatrist, Cedars-Sinai Spine Center

LEONARDO KAPURAL, MD, PHD

Clinical Director, Wake Forest University Health Sciences
Chronic Pain Center, Carolinas Pain Institute and Center for
Clinical Research

LAWRENCE POREE, MD, PHD, MPH

Professor and Director of Neuromodulation Service,
Department of Anesthesia and Perioperative Care, University
of California at San Francisco

PETER STAATS, MD, MBA

Premier Pain Centers, New Jersey
Adjunct Associate Professor, Johns Hopkins University
Founder, Division of Pain Medicine, Johns Hopkins University

INVITED FACULTY

STEVEN COLLINS, MD

TODD SITZMAN, MD

SUDHIR DIWAN, MD

MICHAEL GOFELD, MD

DAVID PROVENZANO, MD

COREY HUNTER, MD

CHRIS CENTENO, MD

MICHAEL DEPALMA, MD